



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/685,026	10/10/2000		Marco Martins	YOR9-2000-0165	2558
21254	7590	12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
MCGINN &	-	PLLC DUSE ROAD	HOFFMAN, I	HOFFMAN, BRANDON S	
SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2136	 136

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/685,026	MARTINS ET AL.					
,	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Brandon Hoffman	2136					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaning patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE attention which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate of the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does No	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	$\mathbf{x}(s)$ a) \mathbf{X} will not be entered or $\mathbf{x}(s)$ would be rejected is provided be	o)□ will be entered low or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed:		•					
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-37.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
• •							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:		EMMANUEL L. MO	ISE				

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants response to the final rejection (mainly the key ponts that were underlined by applicant to further clarify the distinction of the instant application over the cited art) appears to overcome the prior art as set forth by the examiner. However, further searching is required to determine patentability.